II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018
GRANADA

Organizado por:

Cáncer de mama localizado Her2 positivo y
N negativo.
Opciones de Neoadyuvancia.

Natalia Luque Caro
Complejo Hospitalario de Jaén

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

DE ENTRADA SÓLO DECIROS...

... QUE NO CREO QUE OS ACLARE NADA

¡PERO A VER SI NO ACABAMOS ASÍ!

Cáncer de mama HER-2+

Organizado por:

- 20-25% tumores de mama expresan HER-2+:
 - 22% estadio precoz
 - 35% tumores localmente avanzados y metastásicos
 - 40% tumores inflamatorios.
- Amplificación de HER2 es el principal mecanismo para la sobreexpresión de HER2 y es predictivo de respuesta.
- Familia HER2: cuatro receptores forman homo / heterodímeros implicados en vías de proliferación y supervivencia celular.

Zell JA. Breast Cancer Res 2009.
Yarden Y. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2001.

Terapia anti-HER2: Pertuzumab y Trastuzumab

Trastuzumab

- Inhibe la señal Her 2 independiente de ligando.
- Previene la formación de receptores truncados.
- Suprime múltiples vías de señalización de Her2.
- Activa el sistema inmunitario:

Pertuzumab

- Inhibe la dimerización del Her 2.
- Suprime múltiples vías de señalización de Her2.
- Activa el sistema inmunitario: media en la citotoxicidad celular dependiente de anticuerpos.

Fármacos anti HER-2 en cáncer de mama

Neoadyuvante

Pertuzumab

Lapatinib

TDM-1

Trastuzumab

Neratinib

Study	No. of patients	Clinical stage	Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	Neoadjuvant anti-HER2 agent	Arms	HR positive (%)	Duration (wks)	chemotherapy	
Buzdar (26)	64	II-IIIa	Paclitaxel→FEC	Tzmb	45	25 (56)	24	None	
				-	19	11 (58)			
NOAH (29)	235	T3N1 T4, any T N2-3	AP→paclitaxel→CMF	Tzmb	117	40 (35)	30	Tzmb	
				-	118	40 (35)			
Pierga (30)	120	II-III	EC→docetaxel	Tzmb	62	34 (55)	12	Tzmb± fluorouracil + vinorelbine	
				-	58	37 (63)			
Neosphere (23)	417	T2-4	Docetaxel	Tzmb	107	50 (47)	12	Tzmb + FEC	
				Docetaxel	Tzmb + pzmb	107		50 (47)	Tzmb + FEC
				-	Tzmb + pzmb	107		51 (48)	Tzmb + docetaxel→FEC
NeoALTT0 (24)	455	T2-4	Docetaxel	Pzmb	96	46 (48)	18	Tzmb + FEC	
				Paclitaxel	Tzmb	149		75 (50)	Tzmb + FEC
					Lpnb	154		80 (52)	Lpnb + FEC
					Tzmb + lpnb	152		77 (51)	Tzmb + lpnb + FEC
GeparQuinto (32)	615	T1 pNSLN+, T2 cN+, T3-4, HR negative	EC→docetaxel	Tzmb	307	170 (55)	24	Tzmb	
				Lpnb	308	171 (56)			
CHER-LOB (25)	121	II-IIIa	Paclitaxel→FEC	Tzmb	36	21 (58)	26	Tzmb	
				Lpnb	39	24 (62)			
				Tzmb + lpnb	46	28 (61)			
H2269s (27)	29	T2-4	Docetaxel + carboplatin	Tzmb	15	NR	NR	Docetaxel + carboplatin + tzmb	
				-	14	NR			
ABCSG-24 (28)	89	T1-4	Epirubicin + docetaxel + capecitabine	Tzmb	42	17 (41)	18	NR	
				-	47	18 (38)			
GEICAM 2006-14 (31)	102	T2-4	EC→docetaxel	Tzmb	50	NR	24	NR	

Por qué quimioterapia Neoadyuvante

- Resultados equivalentes si la quimioterapia se administra neo o adyuvante.
- Ventajas del tratamiento Neoadyuvante:
 - Disminución del tamaño tumoral → aumento de cirugías conservadoras
 - Evaluar la eficacia de fármacos
 - Testar “in vivo” la sensibilidad del tumor a un determinado tratamiento.
 - Mayor control sobre la micrometástasis
- Objetivos de los estudios con terapia neoadyuvante:
 - Respuesta completa patológica - pCR
 - Supervivencia global – OS
 - Supervivencia libre de eventos – EFS / PFS

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

**RESPUESTA COMPLETA
PATOLÓGICA: pCR**

Pathological complete response and long-term clinical benefit in breast cancer: the CTNeoBC pooled analysis

Patricia Cortazar, Lijun Zhang, Michael Untch, Keyur Mehta, Joseph P Costantino, Norman Wolmark, Hervé Bonnefoi, David Cameron, Luca Gianni, Pinuccia Valagussa, Sandra M Swain, Tatiana Prowell, Sibylle Loibl, D Lawrence Wickerham, Jan Bogaerts, Jose Baselga, Charles Perou, Gideon Blumenthal, Jens Blohmer, Eleftherios P Mamounas, Jonas Bergh, Vladimir Semiglazov, Robert Justice, Holger Eidtmann, Soonmyung Paik, Martine Piccart, Rajeshwari Sridhara, Peter A Fasching, Leen Slaets, Shenghui Tang, Bernd Gerber, Charles E Geyer Jr, Richard Pazdur, Nina Ditsch, Priya Rastogi, Wolfgang Eiermann, Gunter von Minckwitz

12 estudios / 11955 pacientes

Objetivos:

- 1 Asociación entre pCR y EFS /OS
- 2 Definición pCR que se correlaciona mejor con resultado a largo plazo
- 3 Identificar subtipos de cáncer de mama en los que pCR se correlaciona mejor con un resultado a largo plazo
- 4 Evaluar que magnitud de mejora de la pCR entre los grupos de tratamiento predice una mejor EFS /OS.

Población

T2: 61%
Inflamatorios: 4%
N+: 46%
RH-: 30%
HER2 +: 17%

Pathological complete response and long-term clinical benefit in breast cancer: the CTNeoBC pooled analysis

Patricia Cortazar, Lijun Zhang, Michael Untch, Keyur Mehta, Joseph P Costantino, Norman Wolmark, Hervé Bonnefoi, David Cameron, Luca Gianni, Pinuccia Valagussa, Sandra M Swain, Tatiana Prowell, Sibylle Loibl, D Lawrence Wickerham, Jan Bogaerts, Jose Baselga, Charles Perou, Gideon Blumenthal, Jens Blohmer, Eleftherios P Mamounas, Jonas Bergh, Vladimir Semiglazov, Robert Justice, Holger Eidtmann, Soonmyung Paik, Martine Piccart, Rajeshwari Sridhara, Peter A Fasching, Leen Slaets, Shenghui Tang, Bernd Gerber, Charles E Geyer Jr, Richard Pazdur, Nina Ditsch, Priya Rastogi, Wolfgang Eiermann, Gunter von Minckwitz

12 estudios / 11955 pacientes

Objetivos:

- 1 Asociación entre pCR y DFE /OS
- 2 Definición pCR que se correlaciona mejor con resultado a largo plazo
- 3 Identificar subtipos de cáncer de mama en los que pCR se correlaciona mejor con un resultado a largo plazo
- 4 Evaluar que magnitud de mejora de la pCR entre los grupos de mejor DFE /OS.

pCR:
 22% ypT0/is
 18% ypT0/is ypN0
 13 % ypT0ypN0

ypT0/is ypN0 // ypT0ypN0: mejor EFS /OS

Supervivencia libre de eventos

Supervivencia global

Pacientes que logran una pCR:
mejor EFS / OS

Asociación favorable entre pCR y:
HER2+: HR 0.39 EFS / 0.24 OS
HER2+/RH+: 0.58 EFS / 0.57 OS
HER2+/RH-: 0.25 EFS / 0.19 OS

HER2+

HER2+ HR+

HER2+ HR-

Análisis agrupado **NO** pudo establecer que el incremento en la tasa de pCR en grupos de tratamiento sponga mejor EFS / OS

Supervivencia libre de eventos

Supervivencia global

Pacientes que logran una pCR:
mejor EFS / OS

Asociación favorable en
HER2+: HR 0.39 EFS /
HER2+/RH+: 0.58 EFS
HER2+/RH-: 0.25 EFS

HER2+ HR-

...pudo
...ento en
la tasa de pCR en grupos de
tratamiento predice mejores EFS
/ OS

¿Por qué tanto hincapié en la
pCR?

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

TRASTUZUMAB

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab followed by adjuvant trastuzumab versus neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone, in patients with HER2-positive locally advanced breast cancer (the NOAH trial): a randomised controlled superiority trial with a parallel HER2-negative cohort

Obj: EFS

HER-2 pos

N=115

AP+Tz x 3

P+Tz x 4

CMF+Tz x 3

CIRUGIA

Tz x 52 semanas

N=113

AP x 3

P x 3

CMF x 3

CIRUGIA

	HER2-positive disease		HER2-negative disease
	With trastuzumab (n=117)	Without trastuzumab (n=118)	Without trastuzumab (n=99)
Stage group			
T4 non-inflammatory	49 (42%)	51 (43%)	44 (44%)
Inflammatory	32 (27%)	31 (26%)	14 (14%)
N2 or ipsilateral nodes	36 (31%)	36 (31%)	41 (41%)
Hormone-receptor status			
OR or PR positive, or both	42 (36%)	42 (36%)	63 (64%)
Both negative	75 (64%)	76 (64%)	36 (36%)
Age			
<50 years	50 (43%)	50 (42%)	48 (48%)
>50 years	67 (57%)	68 (58%)	51 (52%)
Axillary nodes			
N0	16 (14%)	19 (16%)	17 (17%)
N1	50 (43%)	53 (45%)	38 (38%)
N2	50 (43%)	46 (39%)	44 (44%)
Unknown	1 (1%)	0	0

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

	HER2-positive disease		p value*
	With trastuzumab (n=117)	Without trastuzumab (n=118)	
bpCR	50 (43%)	26 (22%)	0.0007
tpCR	45 (38%)	23 (19%)	0.001
OR†	102 (87%)	87 (74%)	0.009

Figure 3: Analyses of event-free survival by subgroup in patients with HER2-positive disease

4.5 años: EFS 58% vs 43%

HR para la EFS en pacientes con pCR fue de 0,29

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

PERTUZUMAB

Efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in women with locally advanced, inflammatory, or early HER2-positive breast cancer (NeoSphere): a randomised multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial

Objetivo principal: pCR
Objetivos secundarios: PFS, DFS

Fase II
n:417
Ca mama
HER2+ LA /
Inflamatorio

A: Trastuzumab + Docetaxel

B: Pertuzumab + Trastuzumab +
Docetaxel

C: Pertuzumab + Trastuzumab

D: Pertuzumab + Docetaxel

C
I
R
U
G
Í
A

FEC x 3
Docetaxel x 4 (C)
Trastuzumab 1 año
HT si RH+
RT

	Trastuzumab plus docetaxel (group A; n=107)	Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel (group B; n=107)	Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab (group C; n=107)	Pertuzumab plus docetaxel (group D; n=96)
Median age (years, range)	50 (32-74)	50 (28-77)	49 (22-80)	49 (27-70)
Ethnic origin				
Black	0	2 (2%)	1 (1%)	3 (3%)
White	80 (75%)	77 (72%)	79 (74%)	61 (64%)
Asian	25 (23%)	23 (21%)	22 (21%)	25 (26%)
Other	2 (2%)	5 (5%)	5 (5%)	7 (7%)
ECOG performance status				
0	100 (94%)*	96 (90%)	92 (86%)	80 (83%)
1	6 (6%)*	11 (10%)	15 (14%)	16 (17%)
ER positive or PR positive, or both				
ER positive or PR positive, or both	50 (47%)	50 (47%)	51 (48%)*	46 (48%)
ER negative and PR negative				
ER negative and PR negative	57 (53%)	57 (53%)	55 (52%)*	50 (52%)
Operable				
Operable	64 (60%)	65 (61%)	65 (61%)	60 (63%)
Locally advanced				
Locally advanced	36 (34%)	32 (30%)	35 (33%)	31 (32%)
Inflammatory				
Inflammatory	7 (7%)	10 (9%)	7 (7%)	5 (5%)
Lymph node status				
N0	32 (30%)	31 (29%)*	32 (30%)	28 (29%)
N1	48 (45%)	53 (50%)*	46 (43%)	41 (43%)
N2	22 (21%)	22 (21%)*	24 (22%)	22 (23%)
N3	5 (5%)	0	5 (5%)	5 (5%)
Median tumour size (mm) at clinical breast examination (range)	50 (20-200)	55 (20-150)	50 (20-200)	50 (0-180)

T2-3, N0-1

T2-3, N2-3, T4a-c

T4d, any N

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

	Trastuzumab plus docetaxel (group A; n=107)	Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel (group B; n=107)	Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab (group C; n=107)	Pertuzumab plus docetaxel (group D; n=96)
Pathological complete response in ITT population	31 (29.0%, 20.6-38.5)	49 (45.8%, 36.1-55.7)*	18 (16.8%, 10.3-25.3)†	23 (24.0%, 15.8-33.7)‡
Pathological complete response and N- at surgery	23 (21.5%, 14.1-30.5)	42 (39.3%, 30.0-49.2)	12 (11.2%, 5.9-18.8)	17 (17.7%, 10.7-26.8)
Pathological complete response and N+ at surgery	8 (7.5%, 3.3-14.2)	7 (6.5%, 2.7-13.0)	6 (5.6%, 2.1-11.8)	6 (6.3%, 2.3-13.1)
Pathological complete response in ER positive or PR positive, or both, women	10/50 (20.0%, 10.0-33.7)	13/50 (26.0%, 14.6-40.3)	3/51 (5.9%, 1.2-16.2)	8/46 (17.4%, 7.8-31.4)
Pathological complete response in ER negative and PR negative women	21/57 (36.8%, 24.4-50.7)	36/57 (63.2%, 49.3-75.6)	15/55 (27.3%, 16.1-41.0)	15/50 (30.0%, 17.9-44.6)

Data are n (%; 95% CI) or n/N (%; 95% CI). ITT=intention group A. †p=0.003 vs group B.

Table 2: Pathological complete responses in the ITT

*p=0.0141 vs group A. †p=0.0198 vs

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

Mayor tasa de rPC: P + T + D
 Mayor tasa de rPC en T y N: P + T + D
 Mayor tasa de rPC RH- : P + T + D

	Trastuzumab plus docetaxel (group A; n=107)	Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel (group B; n=107)	Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab (group C; n=107)	Pertuzumab plus docetaxel (group D; n=96)
Pathological complete response in ITT population	31 (29.0%, 20.6-38.5)	49 (45.8%, 36.1-55.7)*	18 (16.8%, 10.3-25.3)†	23 (24.0%, 15.8-33.7)†
Pathological complete response and N- at surgery	23 (21.5%, 14.1-30.5)	42 (39.3%, 30.0-49.2)	12 (11.2%, 5.9-18.8)	17 (17.7%, 10.7-26.8)
Pathological complete response and N+ at surgery	8 (7.5%, 3.3-14.2)	7 (6.5%, 2.7-13.0)	6 (5.6%, 2.1-11.8)	6 (6.3%, 2.3-13.1)
Pathological complete response in ER positive or PR positive, or both, women	10/50 (20.0%, 10.0-33.7)	13/50 (26.0%, 14.6-40.3)	3/51 (5.9%, 1.2-16.2)	8/46 (17.4%, 7.8-31.4)
Pathological complete response in ER negative and PR negative women	21/57 (36.8%, 24.4-50.7)	36/57 (63.2%, 49.3-75.6)	15/55 (27.3%, 16.1-41.0)	15/50 (30.0%, 17.9-44.6)

Data are n (%; 95% CI) or n/N (%; 95% CI). ITT=Intention-to-treat. N-=lymph-node negative. N+=lymph-node positive. ER=oestrogen receptor. PR=progesterone receptor. *p=0.0141 vs group A. †p=0.0198 vs group A. ‡p=0.003 vs group B.

Table 2: Pathological complete

	Trastuzumab plus docetaxel (group A; n=107)	Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel (group B; n=107)	Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab (group C; n=108)	Pertuzumab plus docetaxel (group D; n=94)
Alopecia	70 (65%)	68 (64%)	1 (1%)	63 (67%)
Neutropenia	67 (63%)	54 (50%)	1 (1%)	59 (63%)
Diarrhoea	36 (34%)	49 (46%)	30 (28%)	51 (54%)
Nausea	39 (36%)	41 (38%)	15 (14%)	34 (36%)
Fatigue	29 (27%)	28 (26%)	13 (12%)	24 (26%)
Rash	23 (21%)	28 (26%)	12 (11%)	27 (29%)
Mucosal inflammation	23 (21%)	28 (26%)	3 (3%)	24 (26%)
Myalgia	24 (22%)	24 (22%)	10 (9%)	19 (20%)
Asthenia	19 (18%)	22 (21%)	3 (3%)	15 (16%)

	Trastuzumab plus docetaxel (group A; n=107)	Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel (group B; n=107)	Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab (group C; n=108)	Pertuzumab plus docetaxel (group D; n=94)
Neutropenia	61 (57%)	48 (45%)	1 (1%)	52 (55%)
Febrile neutropenia	8 (7%)	9 (8%)	0	7 (7%)
Leucopenia	13 (12%)	5 (5%)	0	7 (7%)
Diarrhoea	4 (4%)	6 (6%)	0	4 (4%)
Asthenia	0	2 (2%)	0	2 (2%)
Granulocytopenia	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	0	2 (2%)
Rash	2 (2%)	2 (2%)	0	1 (1%)
Menstruation irregular	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	0	4 (4%)
Drug hypersensitivity	0	1 (1%)	2 (2%)	0
ALT increased	3 (3%)	0	0	1 (1%)
Total number of serious adverse events	20	15	4	16

Toxicidad cardiaca:

- Caída FEVI: 4-5 %.
- 3 ptes brazo B caída: 10-15%

5-year analysis of neoadjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in patients with locally advanced, inflammatory, or early-stage HER2-positive breast cancer (NeoSphere): a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 randomised trial

Sociedad Andaluza de Oncología Médica

DFS pCR vs no-pCR: 0,68 - IC 95%: 0,36-1,26

PFS pCR vs no-pCR: 0,54 - IC 95%:0,29-1.00

Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab in combination with standard neoadjuvant anthracycline-containing and anthracycline-free chemotherapy regimens in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer: a randomized phase II cardiac safety study (TRYPHAENA)

F II
n:225
Ca mama
HER2+
Tm T2-4d
y/- N0/N+

C
I
R
U
G
Í
A

Trastuzumab 1 año
HT si RH+
RT
QT

Objetivo principal: seguridad cardiaca
Objetivos secundarios: PFS, DFS, OS

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

ypT0/is		ypT0/is ypN0
61.6%	A	50.7%
57.3%	B	45.3%
66.2%	C	51.9%

PERO QUIENES SON

TRYPHAENA: pCR y status de RRHH

- ↓ Significativa FEVI: baja en todos los brazos.
- ↓ Efectos adversos: C (35,5%) > A (27,8%) > B (20%)

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

Pacientes que alcanzan pCR mejor EFS

Perituzumab and trastuzumab plus standard neoadjuvant anthracycline-containing and anthracycline-free chemotherapy regimens in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer: Efficacy analysis of a Phase II cardiac safety study (TRYPHAENA)

Abstract from the 2016 American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) Annual Meeting, June 1-5, 2016, San Antonio, TX.

Background: The TRYPHAENA study was a phase II, randomized, controlled trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and cardiac safety of perituzumab (P) plus trastuzumab (T) plus standard neoadjuvant anthracycline-containing (AC) and anthracycline-free (AF) chemotherapy regimens in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer. The primary endpoint was the percentage of patients achieving pathologic complete response (pCR) in the breast and axilla. Secondary endpoints included overall survival (OS), disease-free survival (DFS), and cardiac safety.

Methods: The study included 400 patients who were randomized to receive either the AC or AF regimen. The AC regimen consisted of epirubicin, cyclophosphamide, and fluorouracil (ECF), while the AF regimen consisted of cyclophosphamide, fluorouracil, and epirubicin (CFE). All patients received perituzumab and trastuzumab. The primary endpoint was pCR, defined as the absence of invasive disease in the breast and axilla on pathologic examination. Secondary endpoints included OS, DFS, and cardiac safety.

Results: The percentage of patients achieving pCR was significantly higher in the AC group (50.5%) compared with the AF group (40.5%). The OS and DFS rates were similar between the two groups. Cardiac safety was also similar, with no significant differences in the percentage of patients experiencing cardiac events.

Conclusions: The AC regimen plus perituzumab and trastuzumab resulted in a significantly higher percentage of patients achieving pCR compared with the AF regimen plus perituzumab and trastuzumab. OS and DFS rates were similar between the two groups. Cardiac safety was also similar.

References: 1. Perituzumab and trastuzumab plus standard neoadjuvant anthracycline-containing and anthracycline-free chemotherapy regimens in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer: Efficacy analysis of a Phase II cardiac safety study (TRYPHAENA). *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(15):1711-1720.

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier estimates of DFS according to tpCR status

EFS, PFS y OS a 3 años: similares entre brazos y consistentes con NeoSphere

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

Primary analysis of BERENICE: A phase II cardiac safety study of pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy in patients with locally advanced, inflammatory, or early-stage, unilateral, or invasive HER2-positive breast cancer

n: 401
Obj 1º: seguridad cardiaca. Obj 2º: pCR.
RH+ 64% // NO: 40%

Figure 3. pCR (ypT0/is ypN0, intent-to-treat population)

Six patients in Cohort A and two in Cohort B had missing HR assessments. D, docetaxel; ddAC, dose-dense doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide; FEC, fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide; H, trastuzumab (Herceptin®); HR, hormone receptor; P, pertuzumab (PERJETA®); T, paclitaxel; pCR, pathologic complete response.

REVIEW

Comparative Effectiveness of Neoadjuvant Therapy for HER2-Positive Breast Cancer: A Network Meta-Analysis

Aiko Nagayama, Tetsu Hayashida, Hiromitsu Jinno, Maiko Takahashi, Tomoko Seki, Akiko Matsumoto, Takeshi Murata, Hutan Ashrafian, Thanos Athanasiou, Koji Okabayashi, and Yuko Kitagawa

Study	No. of patients	Clinical stage	Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	Neoadjuvant anti-HER2 agent	Arms	posit	H
Buzdar (26)	64	II-III A	Paclitaxel→FEC	Tzmb	45	25	
				-	19	11	
NOAH (29)	235	T3N1 T4, any T N2-3	AP→paclitaxel→CMF	Tzmb	117	40	
				-	118	40	
Pierga (30)	120	II-III	EC→docetaxel	Tzmb	62	34	
				-	58	37	
Neosphere (23)	417	T2-4	Docetaxel	Tzmb	107	50	
			Docetaxel	Tzmb + pzmb	107	50	
			-	Tzmb + pzmb	107	51	
			Docetaxel	Pzmb	96	46	
NeoALTTO (24)	455	T2-4	Paclitaxel	Tzmb	149	75	
				Lpnb	154	80	
				Tzmb + lpnb	152	77	
GeparQuinto (32)	615	T1 pNSLN+, T2 cN+, T3-4, HR negative	EC→docetaxel	Tzmb	307	170	
				Lpnb	308	171	
CHER-LOB (25)	121	II-III A	Paclitaxel→FEC	Tzmb	36	21	
				Lpnb	39	24	
				Tzmb + lpnb	46	28 (61)	26
H2269s (27)	29	T2-4	Docetaxel + carboplatin	Tzmb	15	NR	NR
				-	14	NR	
ABCSG-24 (28)	89	T1-4	Epirubicin + docetaxel + capecitabine	Tzmb	42	17 (41)	18
				-	47	18 (38)	
GEICAM 2006-14 (31)	102	T2-4	EC→docetaxel	Tzmb	50	NR	24

- 10 ESTUDIOS / n: 2247
1. CT vs CT + tzmb
 2. CT + lpnb vs CT + tzmb
 3. CT + pzmb vs CT + tzmb
 4. Tzmb + pzmb vs CT + tzmb
 5. CT + tzmb + lpnb vs CT + tzmb
 6. CT + tzmb + pzmb vs CT + tzmb
 7. CT + tzmb + lpnb vs CT + lpnb
 8. Tzmb + pzmb vs CT + pzmb
 9. CT + tzmb + pzmb vs CT + pzmb
 10. CT + tzmb + pzmb vs tzmb + pzmb

6 comparaciones basadas en el estudio Neosphere

REVIEW

Comparative Effectiveness of Neoadjuvant Therapy for HER2-Positive Breast Cancer: A Network Meta-Analysis

Aiko Nagayama, Tetsu Hayashida, Hiromitsu Jinno, Maiko Takahashi, Tomoko Seki, Akiko Matsumoto, Takashi Murata, Hideo Akebayashi, Thomas Athanasou, Koji Okabayashi, and Yoko Kitagawa

- Combinación de 2 anti-HER: >pCR
- Lapatinib: diarrea, neutropenia, < finalizaciones del tto
- QT + tzmb: > finalización del tto
- No diferencias en brazos con CT + 1 anti-HER2
- No diferencias en eventos cardiacos

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

NO

NOAH: Beneficio no significativo

**Neosphere: mejor pCR ¿pero quienes?, no
impacto en DFS / OS**

**Tryphaena / Berenice: seguro, mayor pCR,
no impacto DFS/OS**

Metaanálisis: mejor pCR

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

GUÍAS: HER+ NEOADYUVANCIA-N0

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

PRINCIPLES OF PREOPERATIVE SYSTEMIC THERAPY

- Randomized trials of chemotherapy demonstrate similar long-term outcomes when patients are given the same treatment preoperatively compared with postoperatively.¹
- Preoperative systemic therapy can render surgically inoperable tumors operable and offers potential benefits for patients with operable breast cancer. Importantly, preoperative systemic therapy can improve rates of breast conservation therapy eligibility, can minimize the extent of axillary surgery, and provides an opportunity to observe clinical and pathologic response to systemic therapy in an individual patient.
- Pathologic complete response (pCR) to preoperative systemic therapy is associated with an extremely favorable disease-free and overall survival, particularly in situations in which all treatment is given preoperatively. The correlation between pathologic response and long-term outcome is strongest for triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), somewhat less so for HER2-positive disease, and least for ER-positive disease.^{2,3}
- A number of chemotherapy regimens have activity in the preoperative setting. In general, those chemotherapy regimens recommended in the adjuvant setting may be considered in the preoperative setting. [See Preoperative/Adjuvant Therapy Regimens \(BINY-K\).](#)
- Preoperative endocrine therapy alone may be considered for patients with ER-positive disease based on comorbidities or low-risk luminal biology.

- Patients with HER2-positive tumors should be treated with preoperative systemic therapy incorporating trastuzumab for at least 9 weeks of preoperative therapy. A pertuzumab-containing regimen may be administered preoperatively to patients with greater than or equal to T2 or greater than or equal to N1, HER2-positive early-stage breast cancer. [See Preoperative/Adjuvant Therapy Regimens \(BINY-K\).](#)
- Some studies have reported an increased risk of locoregional recurrence in patients receiving preoperative systemic therapy compared with those receiving postoperative systemic therapy.⁴ This increased risk of locoregion has been attributed to suboptimal delivery of definitive therapy in patients treated in the preoperative setting.
- Not all patients are appropriate candidates for preoperative systemic therapy. Accurate clinical staging at baseline to initiation of preoperative systemic therapy is critical. [See Preoperative Systemic Therapy: Breast and Axillary \(BINY-11\).](#)
- When electing preoperative chemotherapy, all treatment should be given prior to surgery. Tumor response should be routinely assessed by clinical exam during delivery of preoperative therapy. Patients with operable breast cancer experiencing progression of disease during preoperative systemic therapy may be given an alternate systemic therapy or taken to surgery. Locoregional therapy principles should be applied in the same manner as in patients treated with postoperative systemic therapy.

HER2-directed therapy

- Trastuzumab is approved in patients with node-positive disease and in node-negative disease with tumours > 1 cm
 - It can also be considered for patients with NO tumours < 1 cm, particularly in ER-negative disease
- HER2-targeted therapy may also be considered if a HER2 test result is equivocal
- A 1-year treatment duration is standard for trastuzumab

axillary involvement or sized ≥ 2 cm [51]. Dual anti-HER2 blockade has lead to higher rates of pathological complete responses but with no improvements in long-term outcomes. Dual antiHER2 blockade associated with chemotherapy (trastuzumab + lapatinib, trastuzumab + pertuzumab) has led to improvements in the pCR rate when compared with chemotherapy associated with trastuzumab agent, as shown in NEOSPHERE study. These data have been key to the accelerated approval of this combination, still dependent on the results of an adjuvant confirmatory trial [II B] [52]. Lapatinib-trastuzumab combo did not translate into improvement in long-term outcomes, either in the adjuvant or neoadjuvant setting and such a treatment cannot be recommended [I, A] [53, 54].

ac function and 3–4-monthly recommended concomitantly with and ET is safe combination of trastuzumab plus pertuzumab may be risk cases

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

CUANDO ESTÁS PERDIDO LO MEJOR ES VOLVER A CASA

En nuestro centro...

42 pacientes tratadas desde julio/15 a sept/17

Población

Edad 34 – 72
T2: 30 (71%)
N+: 22 (52%)
RH -: 11 (26%)
Ki67 $\leq$ 25%: 18 (43%)

EC x 4 $\rightarrow$ Pertuzumab + trastuzumab x 4
+ paclitaxel x 12

En nuestro centro: Resultados

- Tumorectomías: 29 (69%)
- Número de pCR: 20 (47%) → 6 pTisN0 / 14 pT0pN0

31 pacientes con RH+: 12 pCR (38%)

11 pacientes con RH-: 8 pCR (72%)

22 Pacientes con N+: 12 pCR (54%)

20 pacientes con N-: 8 pCR (40%)

- No “eventos” hasta el momento.

Conclusiones

- La pCR (en T y N) al tratamiento neoadyuvante en cáncer de mama HER2+ parece asociarse con mejor EFS y OS.
- Pertuzumab + trastuzumab + QT se posiciona como tratamiento neoadyuvante en pacientes con cáncer de mama HER2+ con mayor tasa de pCR y perfil de seguridad aceptable.
- La QT no pasa de moda.
- Con los datos actuales no podemos identificar qué pacientes no precisarían tratamiento con Pertuzumab o QT.
- No hay evidencia científica suficiente para no tratar con doble terapia a pacientes N- o RH+.

>pCR puede suponer mayor **“CURACIÓN”**
Buen perfil seguridad

PACIENTE

Sobret ratamiento
Aumento del gasto

II CURSO DE RESIDENTES DE SAOM

GRANADA, 13 y 14 DE ABRIL 2018

Organizado por:

MUCHAS GRACIAS